

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/919,360	07/30/2001	Leroy E. Hood	P-IS 4627	2535	
23601 7:	590 09/22/2003				
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP			EXAMINER		
4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE 7TH FLOOR			MARSCHEL	IARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
SAN DIEGO, O	CA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
055 4 4 4 0	09/919,360	HOOD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ardin Marschel	1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allocation closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal matt ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac					
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the certified copies of the properties. 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- ١. Claims 1-16 and 30-43, drawn to a method of classifying or categorizing a population by drug responsiveness, classified in class 702, subclass 19. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- 11. Claims 17-29, drawn to a method of predicting a drug response in an individual, classified in class 703, subclass 11. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized specie election is also required.

SPECIE ELECTION REQUIREMENT FOR EITHER OF GROUPS I OR II:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie A: Beneficial drug reaction such as the alleviation of a sign or symptom associated with a condition as in instant claim 4

Specie B: Adverse drug reactions such as set forth in instant claim 3

The study and characterization of drug reactions primarily are directed to beneficial reactions such as in Specie A above, however, adverse, generally called side effects, are also of interest. These reactions, however, generally affect distinct biological molecules or systems in an individual being treated with a drug and thus are distinct subject matter thus documenting the undue search burden if they are searched together..

Application/Control Number: 09/919,360

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 5-16 and 30-43 (Group I) and claims 17-29 (Group II) are generic to the above species in each Group.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of reasons set forth for the above species and as follows regarding the restriction groups listed above:

Application/Control Number: 09/919,360

Art Unit: 1631

The inventions of Group I and Group II are broadly related regarding drug response but unrelated regarding the critical invention practice therein. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of Groups I and II have different modes of operation as Group I is directed to characterizing the present drug responses as related to populations of individuals whereas Group II is predictive is nature of the future usage of a drug.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,360

Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

September 19, 2003

Irlin 1). Warschel ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER